



	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
1	Sunset Drive (SC 1190)  Segment: Melrose Drive to Emerald Drive Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at LOS A-C.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue The City of Vista classifies eastern segment of Sunset Drive as a 2-lane road.</li> </ul>
2	Mar Vista Ave  Segment: Mar Vista Drive (Vista) to Cannon Road (Carlsbad)  Existing Condition: Unbuilt & 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – A 2-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic.</li> <li>Maximize Traffic Movement – Connects a state highway with a Prime Arterial.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue The City of Vista requested the County re-align this road, but staff recommends maintaining the existing alignment because projected traffic counts do not warrant building a new road.</li> </ul>
3	Foothill Drive  Segment: Vale Terrace (Vista) to Buena Creek Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Light Collector (2 lanes)	Minor Upgrade  2.2 D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)	Road Capacity – Projected traffic counts suggest road is near capacity.
4	Monte Vista Drive  Segment: South Santa Fe Avenue (Vista) to Buena Creek Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Minor Downgrade  4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts.

Matrix: North County Metro-1 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	<b>Board-Endorsed Network</b>	Rationale
5	South Santa Fe Avenue  Segment: Montgomery Street to Bosstick Boulevard (San Marcos)  Existing Condition: (CIP = 4 +lanes)  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Equivalent Classification  4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at LOS A-C.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue - The City of Vista classifies northern segment of road as 4 lanes.</li> </ul>
6	Buena Creek Road (Western Segment)  Segment: South Santa Fe Avenue to Sugarbush  Existing Condition: 2 lane road  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Minor Downgrade 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts.
7	Sycamore Avenue  Segment: South Santa Fe Avenue to Highway 78  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Upgrade Classification 6.2 Prime Arterial (6 lanes)	Road Capacity – A 6-lane road is needed to accommodate projected traffic.
8	State Route 78  Segment: Mar Vista Drive to Smilax Road Existing Condition: 6 lanes Current Classification: Freeway (6 lanes)	Minor Upgrade 6.1 Expressway (6 lanes) + 2 HOV lanes	Road Capacity – 2 additional HOV lanes (as identified in the RTP) will improve the LOS from F to E (165,000 ADT).  Note: Proposed classification will operate at LOS E/F

Matrix: North County Metro-2 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	<b>Board-Endorsed Network</b>	Rationale
9	Smilax Road  Segment: Oleander Avenue to South Santa Fe Avenue  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts.
10	Rancho Santa Fe Road  Segment: Melrose Drive (Vista) to San Marcos Boulevard (San Marcos)  Existing Condition: 4 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Upgrade Classification 6.2 Prime Arterial (6 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Projected traffic counts suggest a 6-lane road is needed.</li> <li>Interjurisdictional Issue A 6-lane classification is consistent with the City of San Marcos CE classification (Oct 2001).</li> </ul>
11	Las Posas Road  Segment: San Marcos Boundary to Buena Creek Road Existing Condition: Unbuilt Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2C Light Collector with Intermittent Turn Lane (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road serves regional traffic commuting to/from north county cities and will operate at an acceptable level of service standard (LOS D).</li> <li>Minimize Environmental Impacts – A 2-lane road is less impactive to the natural upland habitat and MSCP pre-approved mitigation area.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue: The City of San Marcos requested the County retain this road because of the recently constructed Hwy-78 Las Posas Road interchange and because it is identified in the North County Parkways Plan.</li> <li>Note: No intermittent turn lane within the preserve.</li> </ul>

Matrix: North County Metro-3 November 7, 2006

l	CE Road Segment	<b>Board-Endorsed Network</b>	Rationale
12	La Cienega Road (SA 510)  Segment: San Marcos Boundary to Los Posas Road  Existing Condition: Unbuilt  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Delete CE Road	<ul> <li>Community Consensus – Twin Oaks Valley residents support removing road from Circulation Element.</li> <li>Support land use goals – This road is not needed for semi-rural residential development planned in the area.</li> <li>Minimize costs – Road is not needed to support forecast traffic volumes.</li> </ul>
13	Buena Creek Road (Twin Oaks Valley Segment)  Segment: Sugarbush Drive to Twin Oaks Valley Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes +  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Minor Downgrade  4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)  CSG Preference: Downgrade to a 2-lane Road Classification	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road is needed to adequately serve projected traffic volumes (15,700 ADT).
14	SA1414 (Unbuilt road connecting Buena Creek Road and Deer Springs Road)  Segment: Buena Creek Road to Deer Springs Road  Existing Condition: Unbuilt  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Delete CE Road	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Buena Creek Road at 4 lanes can accommodate projected traffic volumes this plan cycle. Tests show that building Road CA SA1414 produces increased congestion on Deer Springs Road.</li> <li>Minimize Costs – Avoid areas with high costs for road construction and/or environmental mitigation.</li> </ul>

Matrix: North County Metro-4 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
15A	Twin Oaks Valley Road  Segment: SA1414 alignment to Bonsall Community Boundary  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Rural Collector (2 lanes)	Minor Upgrade  2.2C Light Collector with Intermittent Turn Lanes (2+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.</li> <li>Support Land Use Goal – 2-lane road can adequately serve the semi-rural and rural land uses planned for the area.</li> </ul>
15B	Twin Oaks Valley Road  Segment: San Marcos Boundary to SA1414 (unbuilt)  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2C Light Collector with Intermittent Turn Lanes (2+ lanes)	Road Capacity – Road is operating at LOS A-C.
16	Mulberry Drive  Segment: San Marcos Boundary to Deer Springs Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes (dirt road)  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Remove from CE Network	Minimize costs – Road is not needed to support forecast traffic volumes, emergency fire access, or the completion of a connected network of CE roads.
17	Deer Springs Road  Segment: I-15 to Buena Creek Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Upgrade Classification 6.2 Prime Arterial (6 lanes)  CSG Preference: Downgrade to a 2-lane Road Classification	Road Capacity – A 6-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.  Note: Traffic forecasts do not include the proposed Merriam Mountain GPA.

Matrix: North County Metro-5 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
18	Mesa Rock Road  Segment: Deer Springs Road to North Centre City Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Local Public Road	Minor Upgrade  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – A 2-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.</li> <li>Support Land Use Goals – Upgrading to a CE classification will provide an alternate route for traffic on Deer Springs Road.</li> </ul>
19	Interstate 15  Segment: Nutmeg Street (Escondido) to Gopher Canyon Road (Bonsall)  Existing Condition: 10 lanes  Current Classification: Expressway (6+ Lanes)	Equivalent Classification 6.1A Expressway (6+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Projected traffic counts suggest a 12-lane road is needed (Approximately 250,000 ADT).</li> <li>Note: Unfunded CalTrans Improvements. GP2020 Traffic models assumed 4 additional lanes on Interstate 15 that are not included in SanDAG RTP.</li> </ul>
20	Champagne Boulevard  Segment: Mountain Meadow Road to Old Castle Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.
21	North Centre City Parkway  Segment: Mountain Meadow Road to Nutmeg Street  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.

Matrix: North County Metro-6 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
22A	Jesmond Dene Road (North/South Segment)  Segment: Parallel to North Centre City Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Local Public Road	Equivalent Classification Local Public Road	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Parallel facility (North Centre City Parkway) has excess capacity.</li> <li>Build Community Consensus – Sponsor Group supports maintaining this segment as a local public road.</li> </ul>
22B	Jesmond Dene Road (East/West Segment)  Segment: North Broadway to Centre City Parkway  Existing Condition: Unbuilt Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 2-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes. Wider ROW is retained to maintain flexibility for future road improvements.
22C	Jesmond Dene Road (Eastern Segment)  Segment: Melrose Drive to Emerald Drive Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Remove from CE Network	Minimize Costs – Remove roads from the CE network when they are not needed to support forecast traffic volumes, emergency fire access or the completion of a connected network or CE roads.
23	North Avenue  Segment: Broadway to Cleveland Avenue Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Local Public Road	Equivalent Classification Local Public Road	Road Capacity – Alignment of road and projected traffic volumes do not warrant circulation element classification.

Matrix: North County Metro-7 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
24	North Broadway  Segment: North Avenue to Mountain Meadow Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes & portion unbuilt  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.1D – Community Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)  Raised Median is preferred improvement option	Road Capacity – A 2-lane road with a raised median produces an acceptable level of service. Wider ROW is retained to maintain flexibility for future road improvements.
25A	Mountain Meadow Road  Segment: 1-15 to North Broadway  Existing Condition: 4 lanes with portion unbuilt  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Community Consensus – A 4-lane road is supported by the community.</li> <li>Interchange Capacity The proposed land use modifications produce fewer trips.</li> <li>Note: Proposed classification produces LOS F.</li> </ul>
25B	Mirar de Valle Road  Segment: Mountain Meadow Road to Valley Center Road  Existing Condition: Unbuilt Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.1D Community Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)  Raised Median is preferred improvement option	<ul> <li>Maximize Traffic Movement – Valley         Center needs additional routes to I-15 to         support planned development. A single 2-         lane road produces a level of service F.</li> <li>Build Community Consensus – Valley         Center Planning Group does not support         widening Valley Center Road to six lanes.</li> <li>Interchange Capacity - The proposed land         use modifications produce fewer trips.</li> <li>Note: Proposed classification produces         LOS F.</li> </ul>

Matrix: North County Metro-8 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
26	Rock Springs Road  Segment: West Montiel Road to Bennett Avenue  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Equivalent Classification  4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Maximize Traffic Movement – Segment connects City of Escondido with the City of San Marcos.</li> <li>Interjurisdictional Issue 4-lane road classification is most consistent with classifications in San Marcos and Escondido.</li> </ul>
27	Nordahl Road  Segment: Rock Springs Road to El Norte Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4 lanes)	Minor Downgrade 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Maximize Traffic Movement – Segment connects heavily traveled roads in San Marcos (Mission Road and El Norte Parkway).</li> <li>Interjurisdictional Issue 4-lane road classification is most consistent with the southern segment of the road located within the jurisdictional boundaries of San Marcos (Major Arterial).</li> </ul>
28	El Norte Parkway  Segment: Nordahl Road to Reese Road  Existing Condition: 4 lanes + median  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at a level of service A-C.</li> <li>Interjurisdictional Issue 4-lane road classification is most consistent with classifications in San Marcos and Escondido.</li> </ul>
29	North Ash Street  Segment: Hubbard Avenue to Rincon Avenue Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.1D Community Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – A 2-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue The minimum ROW requirements are consistent with those noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (Collector 84' ROW).</li> </ul>

Matrix: North County Metro-9 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
30A	Del Dios Highway  Segment: Date Lane to Via Rancho Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	• Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts (33,000 ADT).
30B	Del Dios Highway  Segment: Via Rancho Parkway to Monticello Drive  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Prime Arterial (6 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2D Community Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes) Raised Median is preferred improvement option	<ul> <li>Community Consensus – Consistent with San Dieguito proposal.</li> <li>Support Land Use Goals – A 2-lane road classification is consistent with rural and semi-rural residential development.</li> <li>Note: Proposed road classification will operate at LOS F (48,000 ADT)</li> </ul>
31	Via Rancho Parkway  Segment: Del Dios Highway to Old Via Rancho Drive  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	• Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts (24,400 ADT).
32	Felicita Road  Segment: Via Rancho Parkway to Citracado Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road  (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.</li> <li>Appropriate Road for Land Use – A 2-lane road is appropriate because road leads directly to Felicita County Park.</li> </ul>
33	Gamble Lane  Segment: Felicita Road to Calle Catalina Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	• Road Capacity – City of Escondido plans to connect Gamble to Citracado necessitating a 4-lane road.

Matrix: North County Metro-10 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
34	Sunset Drive  Segment: Bear Valley Parkway to West Vermont Avenue  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road  (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.</li> <li>Build Community Consensus – Consistent with the classification noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (local collector).</li> </ul>
35	17th Avenue  Segment: Encino Drive to San Pasqual Valley Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – A 2-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic counts.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue ROW requirements are consistent with those noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (Local Collector 84' ROW).</li> </ul>
36	Idaho Avenue  Segment: Encino Drive to Bear Valley Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Local Public Road	Minor Upgrade  2.2D Light Collector with Improvement Options (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Appropriate Road for Land Use –         Upgrading to a circulation element road classification is appropriate for the more urbanized portion of this County Island.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue ROW requirements are consistent with those noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (Local Collector 66' ROW).</li> </ul>
37A	San Pasqual Valley Road (Highway 78)  Segment: Birch Avenue to Bear Valley Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.

Matrix: North County Metro-11 November 7, 2006

ı	CE Road Segment	Board-Endorsed Network	Rationale
37B	San Pasqual Valley Rd (Hwy 78)  Segment: Bear Valley Parkway to San Pasqual Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Freeway (6 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.
38	Existing CE Right of Way (Hwy 78)  Segment: Birch Avenue to Bear Valley Parkway  Existing Condition: Unbuilt  Current Classification: Freeway (6 lanes)	Delete CE Road	<ul> <li>Minimize Costs – San Pasqual Valley Road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.</li> <li>Note: Long range plans provided by CALTRANS do not include this segment of freeway.</li> </ul>
39	Bear Valley Parkway  Segment: Zlatibor Ranch Road to Austin Way  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)	Equivalent Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue Consistent with the classification noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (Major Road).</li> </ul>
40	Birch Avenue  Segment: San Pasqual Valley Road to Bear Valley Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Local Public Road	Equivalent Classification Local Public Road	Maximize Traffic Movement: Provides an alternative East/West route from Bear Valley Parkway.
41	Citrus Avenue  Segment: Mountain View Drive to San Pasqual Valley Road Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: Local Public Road	Minor Upgrade  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Maximize Traffic Movement: Provides an alternative North/South route from San Pasqual Valley Road.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issues - Consistent with the classification in the City of Escondido circulation element (Local Collector 66' ROW).</li> </ul>

Matrix: North County Metro-12 November 7, 2006

	CE Road Segment	<b>Board-Endorsed Network</b>	Rationale
42	Mountain View Drive  Segment: Cloverdale Road to Royal Oak Drive Existing Condition: 2 lanes (portion unbuilt) Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.</li> <li>Support Land Use Goal – 2-lane road can adequately serve the semi-rural land uses planned for the area.</li> <li>Note Consistent with the classification in the Escondido Circulation Element (Local Collector)</li> </ul>
44	Cloverdale Road  Segment: San Pasqual Valley Road to Old Ranch Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)  Rockwood Road  Segment: Cloverdale Road to Lake Wohlford Road  Existing Condition: Largely unbuilt  Current Classification: Collector Road (4 lanes)	Downgrade Classification  2.2E Light Collector (2 lanes)  Delete CE Road	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.</li> <li>Support Land Use Goal – 2-lane road can serve this semi-rural land area.</li> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue Consistent with the classification noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (Local Collector).</li> <li>Support Land Use Goal - Very low density area does not necessitate a CE road.</li> <li>Minimize Costs – Avoid steep slopes and areas with high costs for road construction.</li> <li>Minimize Environmental Impacts – Minimize impacts to sensitive</li> </ul>
45A	Summit Drive & Mary Lane (Western Segment)  Segment: San Pasqual Valley Road to San Pasqual Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Light Collector (2 lanes)	Equivalent Classification  2.1E Community Collector (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Inter-jurisdictional Issue Consistent with the classification noted in the Escondido Circulation Element (Local Collector).</li> </ul>

Matrix: North County Metro-13 November 7, 2006

l.	CE Road Segment	<b>Board-Endorsed Network</b>	Rationale
45B	Summit Drive (Eastern Segment)  Segment: San Pasqual Valley Road to Mary Lane  Existing Condition: 2 lanes Current Classification: N/A	Equivalent Classification Local Public Road	Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.
47	San Pasqual Road  Segment: San Pasqual Valley Road to Bear Valley Parkway  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Major Road (4+ lanes)  Lake Wohlford Road  Segment: Valley Center Road to Woods  Valley Road  Existing Condition: 2 lanes  Current Classification: Collector Road  (4 lanes)	Minor Downgrade  4.1B Major Road with Intermittent Turn Lanes (4+ lanes)  Downgrade Classification  2.2F Light Collector with Reduced Shoulder (2 lanes)	<ul> <li>Road Capacity – A 4-lane road can adequately serve projected traffic volumes (22,300 ADT).</li> <li>Road Capacity – Road is operating at level of service A-C.</li> <li>Minimize Environmental Impacts – Road alignments should avoid areas with steep slopes whenever possible.</li> </ul>
48	Valley Center Road  Segment: North County Metro planning boundary to City of Escondido  Existing Condition: 4 lanes  Current Classification: Prime Arterial (6 lanes)	Downgrade Classification 4.1A Major Road with Raised Median (4+ lanes)	Road Capacity – Proposed road classification will operate at an acceptable level of service.

Matrix: North County Metro-14 November 7, 2006